THE COURT: Mr. Raza, your attorney has told me that you wish to plead guilty. Before that can happen, I need to ask you some questions so I can be sure that you're pleading guilty because you are guilty and not for any other reason and that you fully understand the rights that you are giving up and the consequences of your plea.

Mr. Pecorino, could you please swear in the defendant.

(Defendant sworn)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. PECORINO: State your full name for the record.

22

until FA?

2.3

24

25

THE DEFENDANT: That means I graduated in my country.

THE COURT: What country was that?

THE DEFENDANT: Pakistan.

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

THE DEFENDANT: No.

Mr. Raza, before I accept your guilty plea, I'm going to describe to you the rights that you have that you will be giving up if you plead guilty.

2.3

24

25

Please listen carefully. If you don't understand any

would not have to prove that you're innocent at trial.

1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: If you went to trial, you would have the 3 4 right to see and hear all of the witnesses, and your attorney 5 could cross-examine the witnesses that the government calls. Do you understand that? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 8 THE COURT: If you went to trial, your attorney could 9 object to the government's evidence. You would also have the 10 right to present evidence and the right to compel witnesses to 11 come to court to testify on your behalf. 12 Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: If you went to trial, you would have the 15 right to testify if you wanted to, but you could not be forced 16 to testify if you did not want to. If you chose not to 17 testify, I would tell the jury that they could not hold that 18 against you. 19 Do you understand that? 20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 21 THE COURT: If there were a trial, the jury would be 22 composed of 12 people, and all 12 would have to agree that the 2.3 government has proven you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

before you could be found guilty.

Do you understand that?

24

THE COURT: You're charged or you're offering to plead

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24

or more counterfeit access devices;

Second, that the defendant did so during a one-year 1 2 period to obtain anything of value worth \$1,000 or more during 3 that period; 4 Third, that the defendants acted knowingly and with intent to defraud; 5 Fourth, that the defendant's conduct in some way 6 7 affected commerce between one state and another. 8 With respect to Count Two, aggravated identity theft, the elements are as follows: First, that the defendant 9 10 knowingly transferred, possessed, or used a means of identification of another person; 11 Second, that the defendant used the means of 12 13 identification during and in relation to the offense of access 14 device fraud charged here in Count One; Third, that the defendant acted without lawful 15 16 authority to use that identification. 17 The government would also need to prove by a 18 preponderance of the evidence that venue in the Southern 19 District of New York is proper. 20 THE COURT: Thank you. 21 Mr. Raza, if you don't plead guilty, the government 22 would have to prove all of the elements that Mr. Hellman just 2.3 laid out beyond a reasonable doubt at trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24

J5648418-cr-00398-VEC Document 57 Filed 06/12/19 Page 11 of 33

2.3

THE COURT: The maximum possible penalty for Count

One, which is the conspiracy count, is a term of imprisonment

of up to five years; a term of supervised release of up to

three years; a fine of \$250,000 or two times the pecuniary gain

from the crime or two times the pecuniary loss from the crime,

whichever one of those numbers is the largest; and a mandatory

\$100 special assessment.

The maximum penalty for Count Two is a mandatory term of imprisonment of two years that must be consecutive to any other term of imprisonment that's imposed; a term of supervised release of up to one year; a fine of \$250,000 or two times the pecuniary gain from the crime or two times the pecuniary loss from the crime, whichever one of those numbers is the largest; and a mandatory \$100 special assessment.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Supervised release means that you'll be subject to monitoring and supervision after you're released from prison.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: There are terms and conditions of supervised release that you have to comply with. If you don't comply with those terms and conditions, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial.

J5CASPATE18-cr-00398-VEC Document 57 Filed 06/12/19 Page 12 of 33 12 1 Do you understand that? 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 3 THE COURT: If you violate the terms and conditions of 4 supervised release and you're sent back to prison, that new 5 prison term can be for all or part of the term of supervised 6 release. You will not necessarily get credit for time that 7 you've already served on supervised release. 8 Do you understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: As part of your sentence, I can order you 11 to pay restitution to any person or any institution that was injured as a result of your criminal conduct. 12 13 Do you understand that? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 15 THE COURT: You're pleading guilty to two different 16 counts in the indictment. I'm going to impose a separate 17 sentence on each count. 18 Do you understand that? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 20 THE COURT: In your case, the sentence on Count Two, 21 as I mentioned, must be consecutive to the sentence on Count 22 One. 2.3 Do you understand that?

THE COURT: So if I impose the maximum possible

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

24

attorney the likely immigration consequences of your conviction

THE COURT: Mr. Raza, have you discussed with your

MR. PETRUS: My thinking is that he is.

2.3

24

1 | in this case?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In all likelihood, you are going to be deported from the United States after you serve your sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If for whatever reason you're not deported after you serve your sentence or if you're held in the United States pending deportation after you serve your sentence, you'll be subject to supervised release.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you're deported and you return to the United States during the period of your supervised release without the permission of the government, that would be a crime. But it would also be a violation of the terms of supervised release.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If that were to happen, you could be returned to prison without the right to a jury trial.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If for any reason, Mr. Raza, the immigration consequences are different from what anyone has

about the offense in determining the appropriate sentence in your case.

Do you understand that?

2.3

24

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: So even after I determine the guideline sentencing range for your case, when I consider these other factors, they could lead me to a sentence that is either above or below the guideline range.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, their estimate or prediction could be wrong.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: It's perfectly appropriate for you and your attorney to talk about how your sentence will be determined, but nobody can give you any assurance of what your sentence will actually be. It's my job to determine your sentence, and I can't do that until I've done the other things that I just described.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So nobody, not even I, can today predict what your sentence will be.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Raza, I'm stressing this at some

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened you or forced you to

1 | plead guilty?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Other than what's in the plea agreement, has anyone promised you anything or offered you any inducement to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made a promise to you of what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: One of the provisions that's in your plea agreement is what's called a waiver of the statute of limitations.

What that waiver means is that if for some reason at some point in the future your plea is withdrawn or your conviction is vacated, the government will be allowed to charge you at that point in time in the future with any crime they could charge you with today, notwithstanding the passage of time.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You're giving up your right to argue that the statute of limitations has run.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: The plea agreement also contains an

J5H35PATP18-cr-00398-VEC Document 57 Filed 06/12/19 Page 19 of 33 agreement or a stipulation between you and the government

regarding the sentencing guidelines calculation that your attorney and the government attorney believes applies to you.

That agreement is binding on you, and it's binding on the government, but it's not binding on me.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Regardless of what you and the government have agreed to in the plea agreement regarding the guidelines calculation, I'm going to do my own guidelines calculation.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Most of the time, 99.9 percent of the time, I come up with the same thing that the lawyers have come up with, but it's not always the same.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Another provision that's in the plea agreement is that you are waiving your right to appeal your sentence or to collaterally attack the sentence so long as I sentence you within or below the guideline range that you and the government have stipulated to.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So in your case, that means that so long

as your term of imprisonment is 54 months or less and so long as any fine is \$95,000 or less, you are agreeing not to appeal and not to collaterally attack your sentence.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Okay, Mr. Raza. In order to accept your plea, I have to be convinced that you actually committed these crimes. So can you tell me what you did to commit the crimes.

MR. PETRUS: Your Honor, if I may, just before he begins, he did take time to write out a plea allocution which we've discussed.

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. PETRUS: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you're going to read something,

Mr. Raza, I'm going to ask you to read it slowly so that we can
all understand you.

THE DEFENDANT: From January 2015 to May 2018, me and my other accomplices --

THE COURT: Hang on a second. Stop. Back up.

He and his accomplices did what?

THE INTERPRETER: You could have it read back, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Raza, please start again. Speak up nice and loudly.

Mr. Interpreter, you also need to speak up nice and

1 | loudly.

2.3

THE DEFENDANT: From January 2015 to May 2018, me and some other accomplices — we did a device fraud in the area of the Southern District of New York. I applied for credit cards and credit card machines and those things.

We used those things for peoples' identities. And I knew that this was not a legal activity. I used the credit card machine. I used the credit card machine with credit card companies and with banks for an amount more than \$1,000. I did a transaction of a thousand dollars which was fraudulent and fake.

MR. PETRUS: Should we proceed to Count Two, your Honor?

THE COURT: No. Let's deal with Count One first.

You said you did a device fraud in the Southern District of New York.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that you applied for credit cards and a credit card machine and "those things."

What were "those things"?

THE DEFENDANT: Which things I did not understand, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't know either, Mr. Raza. What you said was -- when you were allocuting, you said that you applied for credit cards and you applied for a credit card machine and

"those things."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Was there something else that you got in connection with this scheme?

(Defendant and counsel conferred)

THE DEFENDANT: I had submitted paperwork.

THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, the interpreter did not hear a part of what the defendant said because he mumbled.

THE COURT: Say it again, Mr. Raza.

THE DEFENDANT: I had submitted paperwork for applying for machines and for credit cards.

THE COURT: What do you mean by a "credit card machine"?

THE DEFENDANT: Credit card machines are those machines on which you can swipe cards, credit cards.

THE COURT: And if you swipe a card, then money is going to move from the cardholder's account to your account; correct?

THE DEFENDANT: That is correct.

THE COURT: You said you applied for credit cards and credit card machines.

Who did you apply to?

THE DEFENDANT: To banks and to credit card companies.

THE COURT: When you applied for the credit card machine and the credit cards, did you give the bank and the credit card company accurate information?

THE DEFENDANT: No. 1 The name who I mentioned in the 2 card was not correct. THE COURT: Was not correct? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. They were not correct. 5 THE COURT: So you applied for a credit card in someone else's name; is that correct? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: And how about when you applied to the bank 8 for the credit card machine? Did you provide accurate and 9 10 truthful information to the bank? 11 THE DEFENDANT: No. 12 THE COURT: Did you have information on real people 13 that you used in order to get the credit cards? 14 THE DEFENDANT: What thing, your Honor? 15 THE COURT: I'm sorry? What did you say, 16 Mr. Interpreter? 17 THE INTERPRETER: I interpreted what the defendant 18 said. He said: "What thing, your Honor?" 19 THE COURT: I'm having difficulty hearing you. So if 20 you could make sure that you speak up nice and loud, that would 21 be helpful. 22 THE INTERPRETER: Okay, your Honor.

peoples' names, did you have real information on those people?

THE COURT: When you applied for credit cards in other

So real names, real addresses, in order to obtain the credit

2.3

24

1 | cards?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: So you just made up names and addresses?

MR. PETRUS: Your Honor, if I could just have one

moment on that point.

THE COURT: Sure.

(Defendant and counsel conferred)

MR. PETRUS: Let the record reflect that my client is also having problems hearing, as the Court is, and apparently as the interpreter is as well.

THE COURT: Mr. Interpreter, how about this. Perhaps if you sat next to him and, instead of using the equipment, just interpret. Take your headsets off.

I think the pending question is: When you applied for credit cards, were you using real peoples' names and information, or did you just make it up?

THE DEFENDANT: The names were of real people.

THE COURT: Did you have their permission to use their name?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: And when you applied for credit card machines, did you lie to the bank about the identity of the merchant that was going to be using those machines?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you said that you were doing this from

1 | January 2015 to May 2018.

2 | Is that correct?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: During any one-year period, did you receive more than \$1,000 from this conspiracy?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did you intend to mislead the bank and the credit card company when you obtained the credit cards and the credit card machine?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is the government relying on the false credit cards as the counterfeit devices?

MR. HELLMAN: The cards and the card readers as well.

THE COURT: Because they were obtained fraudulently?

MR. HELLMAN: Correct.

THE COURT: Let's move on to Count Two.

THE DEFENDANT: From January 2015 through May 2018, I stole information about individuals in the area of the Southern District of New York. I got the information of other people, their Social Security numbers. And in an illegal manner, I used that information in an illegal and fraud manner.

THE COURT: What did you do with it?

THE DEFENDANT: I applied for their credit cards.

THE COURT: And you said that you did that around the Southern District of New York.

J5CASPA19. 8-cr-00398-VEC Document 57 Filed 06/12/19 Page 26 of 33 26 1 Where were you operating? 2 THE DEFENDANT: In the Southern District of New York. 3 THE COURT: What county? 4 THE DEFENDANT: In Kings County. THE COURT: How did it affect the Southern District? 5 6 He was operating in Kings County, which last time I checked, 7 was Eastern District. 8 How did it affect the Southern District? 9 MR. PETRUS: We're going to proffer, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: I'll just ask the government. We're not 11 going to get bogged down over venue, but I would like a record. MR. HELLMAN: Yes. It is the government's evidence 12 13 that the defendant operated in and around the Southern District 14 in the following ways: First, wire transactions transited or 15 passed through the Southern District and affected financial 16 institutions in the Southern District, including those call 17 transactions, using stolen or fraudulent Social Security 18 numbers. So it affected both Counts One and Two. 19 The defendant and coconspirators also transited from 20 the Eastern District to other districts and passed through the 21 Southern District on their way to locations outside of New York 22 state in furtherance of the fraud. 2.3 What were they doing outside of New York THE COURT:

state in furtherance of the fraud?

24

25

MR. HELLMAN: In various other states, including

- Pennsylvania and Rhode Island, for example, the defendant and his coconspirators established storefronts which were commercial locations which were rented to give the appearance to financial institutions of legitimate business taking place though none in fact was.
 - THE COURT: Mr. Raza, did you hear what the prosecutor just said?
 - THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

21

22

2.3

- THE COURT: Did you travel from Kings County to other states to set up false storefronts?
- MR. PETRUS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. Are we dealing at the aggravated identity theft right now?
- THE COURT: It's both. It's relevant to both. I'm just trying to establish venue, and the government has proffered this.
 - Does he disagree that that was done?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes. We were operating in different states.
- THE COURT: Those were not legitimate businesses in the other states? Is that correct?
 - THE DEFENDANT: No.
 - THE COURT: Did you set up these sort of fake businesses to fool the bank so the bank would think that you were a legitimate business to give you the credit card machine?
- 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Also when you were talking about Count One, you said you and your accomplices did these things.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

THE COURT: You don't have to tell me what the other peoples' names were.

Did you agree with other people to participate in this scheme?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And you said you stole peoples'

Social Security numbers. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did they give you their permission to use their Social Security number?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Mr. Raza, when you did all these things, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and against the law?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Did anyone threaten you or coerce you or force you to participate in this scheme?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Does either attorney wish for me to make further inquiry?

MR. HELLMAN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Petrus?

1 MR. PETRUS: No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Petrus, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial or any reason why your client should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. PETRUS: Not at this time, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you believe there is an adequate factual basis to support the plea?

MR. PETRUS: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hellman, do you believe there is an adequate factual basis to support the plea?

MR. HELLMAN: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: Mr. Raza, how do you plead to Count One? Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: How do you plead to Count Two? Guilty or not guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free will?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Mr. Raza, the indictment also charges that you are required to forfeit to the government property that was proceeds of the crime or property that was used to commit or facilitate the crime.

Do you agree to forfeit such property to the

1 government?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Specifically, according to the plea agreement, you are agreeing to forfeit \$382,945.73.

Is that correct?

(Defendant and counsel conferred)

MR. PETRUS: Your Honor, he agrees to forfeit. The problem is there might not be that money to forfeit. He's indicated to me that there is none.

THE COURT: Is he agreeing to the forfeiture order?

MR. PETRUS: We're not objecting to the forfeiture order, your Honor.

THE COURT: I think you actually agreed to it in here. Yes. There is actually an agreement to forfeit the property.

Mr. Petrus, I'm not trying to trip you up, but you've agreed to the forfeiture which is a little different than just not objecting to the forfeiture.

MR. PETRUS: He agrees to the forfeiture. The issue is he's telling me he doesn't have the funds. We're not objecting.

THE COURT: Did the government understand that?

MR. HELLMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: And you understand, Mr. Raza, that that forfeiture does not affect what I may order you to pay in restitution or in a fine?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

2.3

THE COURT: Okay. I find that there is an adequate factual basis for the plea; that Mr. Raza understands the rights he is giving up and is waiving those rights knowingly and voluntarily.

I find Mr. Raza understands the consequences of his plea, including the potential sentences that may be imposed, and has agreed to forfeit the proceeds of the crime and property that was used to commit or facilitate the crime.

Because I find that the defendant's plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an independent factual basis for each and every element of the crimes charged, I accept his guilty plea.

I direct that a presentence investigation be conducted by the probation office and that a presentence report be prepared.

Mr. Raza, you're going to be interviewed as part of the presentence investigation process. You can and you should have your attorney with you for that interview. If you decide to say anything to the probation officer, it's important that what you say is truthful and complete.

The report that probation prepares is very important to me in determining what an appropriate sentence is in your case. So it's important that I have complete and accurate information.

Probation will prepare a draft report which will be provided to your attorney. He'll provide it to you. Read it carefully. And if anything in it isn't correct, make sure that you tell Mr. Petrus so he can tell probation so the report can be corrected before I see it.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: Both you and your attorney will have an opportunity to speak on your behalf at the time of sentence.

Mr. Petrus, please schedule his appointment in the next two weeks.

Mr. Hellman, please provide a statement of the government's case to probation in the next two weeks.

MR. HELLMAN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Sentencing is scheduled for September 17 at 11:00. Presentence submissions are due September 3.

What's the bail status of Mr. Raza?

MR. HELLMAN: Mr. Raza is on bail with conditions.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hellman. I sort of figured that out since we don't have marshals here.

MR. HELLMAN: Yes, Judge. I only mean that it's not ROR status.

THE COURT: Mr. Hellman, he's not a U.S. citizen.

What are the bail conditions? Do you know?

MR. HELLMAN: Just one moment.

MR. PECORINO: A \$50,000 bond cosigned by two FRPs; 1 2 travel restricted to SDNY, EDNY, and New Jersey; surrender 3 travel documents and no modifications; strict pretrial; 4 electronic monitoring with GPS. 5 THE COURT: Do you have a bracelet on? THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: I take it the government is not seeking to 8 revoke his bail. 9 MR. HELLMAN: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Raza, the bail conditions are going to be continued. It is critical that you comply with the bail 11 12 conditions. It's also critical that you stay in touch with 13 your attorney. Sentencing dates have a tendency to get 14 changed. It's very important that you return to court on the 15 day of sentencing. 16 Do you understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 18 THE COURT: If you fail to return for sentencing, that 19 is called bail jumping, and that is a separate crime. 20 Do you understand that? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 22 THE COURT: Thank you, all. I'll see you in 2.3 September.

24

25

(Adjourned)